

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/728,173	Applicant(s) KUIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1632	

All Participants:

 (1) Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.

 (2) Yu Lu
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 August 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Enablement

Claims discussed:

1, 3-5, 8-11


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Lu and I discussed claim language for allowance. We agreed, and Mr. Lu will file claims accordingly to clarify the mouse.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)